

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

T. Terell Bryan, #254638)	C/A No. 5:15-cv-00871-TMC-KDW
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
)	
Michael McCall, Florence Mauney, Dennis)	
Bush, Sgt. Lawless, Sgt. Lindsey, Lt.)	
Church, Lt. Lasley, Lt. Terry, Major)	
Mursier, Assistant Warden Lewis, Warden)	
Cartledge, Ms. Saltsburg, DHO Glidwell,)	
Coach Rowe, Ashley Maddox, IGC)	
Talbert, Ms. Williams, Sgt. Young, Cpl.)	
Morton, Ann Hallman, SCDC, ALC Clerk,)	
ALC, Clerk of SC Court of Appeals, SC)	
Court of Appeals,)	
)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, brought this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 15, 2015, Defendants Bush, Cartledge, Glidwell, Lewis, Mauney, McCall, Morton, Mursier, Rowe, Saltsburg, Talbert, Terry, Williams, and Young filed a Motion to Dismiss. ECF No. 46.¹ Because Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of such motions and of the need for him to file adequate responses. ECF No. 48. Plaintiff was specifically advised

¹ The complaint was dismissed without prejudice against Defendants SCDC, ALC Clerk, ALC, Clerk of S.C. Court of Appeals, S.C. Court of Appeals, Lawless, Lindsey, Church, Lasley, Maddox, and Hallman on May 21, 2015. ECF No. 30.

that if he failed to respond adequately, the Defendants' Motion may be granted, thereby ending this case against them.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the Motion, and wishes to abandon this action against these Defendants. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss by **August 27, 2015**. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.



July 27, 2015
Florence, South Carolina

Kaymani D. West
United States Magistrate Judge